Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") for its Civil Complaint against Adrian M. Jones ("Jones"), and DOES 1 through 25 (collectively, the "Defendants"), states as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

FEDEX KINKOS 2901

1.5

 This action arises out of Defendant Jones' unauthorized removal and
misappropriation of HP's proprietary, confidential, and trade secret information in connection
with his resignation from HP to join Oracle Corporation ("Oracle"), one of HP's direct
competitors. Defendant Jones was HP's Senior Vice President of the ESSN (Enterprise Servers,
Storage and Network) business unit in the APJ (Asia-Pacific-Japan) region until February 16,
2011, when he resigned to work at Oracle in a similar capacity. Jones' resignation came at the
conclusion of HP's internal investigation of him for misuse of corporate assets and violations of
HP's Standards of Business Conduct and Conflicts of Interest Policy). HP's investigation found:
(i) Jones failed to disclose a conflict of interest related to a close personal relationship with one
of his subordinates (the "HP Subordinate"); (ii) Jones improperly influenced employment
decisions relating to the HP Subordinate, including the orchestration of a salary increase of
approximately 97%; and (iii) Jones submitted several thousands of dollars in personal expenses
incurred in visiting the HP Subordinate that had no legitimate business purpose. Based upon the
findings of HP's investigation, Jones would have been terminated. However, before HP had the
opportunity to terminate Jones, Jones resigned on February 16, 2011.

- 2. On February 11, 2011, just days before Jones resigned from HP, he utilized backup software to copy hundreds of files and thousands of e-mails from his HP computer to a portable USB storage device, including files containing HP's highly confidential, proprietary, and/or trade secret information that Jones could utilize in his new position with a direct competitor. Specifically, Jones copied files containing HP's confidential, proprietary, and trade secret information involving:
 - customer satisfaction with specific HP products and services, customer initiatives, allocation of resources, HP employee performance, product development, and multi-year product, business plans, financial plans, and strategies being utilized by HP;

1	
2	

 lists and charts of HP's ESSN and other customers in the Asia, Pacific, and Japan ("APJ") region;

3 4 • information regarding HP's rate structure, sales volumes, margins, discounts and marketing strategies and efforts, strengths and weaknesses; and

5

• strategic plans regarding new and existing products, services, and markets in the APJ region and elsewhere.

6 7

8

9

3. Jones resigned on February 16, 2011. On February 17, 2011, Jones returned to HP his employee badge, a computer security key, the Company lap top computer that he used, his Company Blackberry, and his Company credit card. Jones did not return to HP the portable USB storage device that he used to copy hundreds of files and thousands of emails that contained HP's confidential proprietary, and trade secret information including information containing

HP's work product that Jones created, developed, and/or used while an HP employee.

10 11

12

13

14

4. On or about March 14, 2011, Jones notified HP that he had accepted a position with Oracle Corporation ("Oracle"), one of HP's direct competitors in the enterprise server and storage sector, as Senior Vice President, Hardware Sales Asia. In Jones' new position with Oracle (as well as in his former position with HP) Jones' responsibilities include hardware sales

15

16

in the Asia/Pacific region.

5.

б.

17 18

information wrongfully in his possession, and enjoining Jones and those acting in concert with him from further accessing or using the information, HP will suffer irreparable harm.

Without immediate injunctive relief requiring Jones to identify and return the HP

20

19

Conversely, Jones will suffer no harm as he has no lawful right to possess HP's confidential, proprietary, and trade secret information and he has previously agreed, in writing, that HP is

21 22

entitled to immediate injunctive relief to reclaim this information. Jones' agreements with HP,

23 24 detailed below, expressly provide that any violation thereof will entitle HP to "injunctive relief

25

by temporary restraining order, temporary injunction, and/or permanent injunction and any other legal and equitable relief allowed by law."

HP brings this action to protect its trade secrets and confidential information and

26

to seek redress from the harm that Jones' wrongful conduct has caused and will cause HP. HP seeks an injunction and appropriate order to, as more fully described in the prayer below: (a)

1

3

4 5

6

8

9

7

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

enjoin Jones from directly or indirectly disclosing or utilizing HP's confidential, proprietary, and/or trade secret information; (b) require Jones to return HP property and files; and (c) require Jones to account for the whereabouts of HP's files.

THE PARTIES

- 7. HP is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business and world headquarters in Palo Alto, California. HP employs over 5,000 employees in Santa Clara County, California, and conducts millions of dollars of business here, which is at risk due to Jones' unlawful actions.
- Jones worked for HP in its Palo Alto, California office and elsewhere. HP is 8. informed and believes Jones resides in San Juan Capistrano, California. In his new position, Jones is based out of Redwood Shores, California.
- 9. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, agent, representative, or otherwise, of Defendants named herein as Does 1 through 25, inclusive, are unknown to HP at this time, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. HP will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities once the same have been ascertained. HP is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges, that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner, way, or form, and to some extent for acts, events, and occurrences hereinafter alleged. Wherever appearing in this Complaint, each and every reference to "Defendants" is intended and shall be a reference to all Defendants in this action, and each of them, including but not limited to all fictitiously named Defendants.
- 10. HP is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges, that at all times relevant to this action, each of the Defendants named herein was doing business as ("dba") and/or was the agent, principal, servant, representative, employer, employee, jointventurer, partner (of any kind), parent, subsidiary, affiliate and/or alter ego of each and every other Defendant and, in doing the things hereinafter alleged, was acting within the course and/or scope of such authority as the dba, agent, principal, servant, representative, employer, employee,

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

joint-venturer, partner (of any kind), parent, subsidiary, affiliate, and/or alter ego with the permission and consent of the remaining Defendants.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. This Court has jurisdiction over all causes of action asserted in this Complaint pursuant to the California Constitution, Article VI, section 10, and California Code of Civil Procedure section 410.10, by virtue of the fact that this is a civil action where the matter in controversy, exclusive of interest, exceeds \$25,000, and because jurisdiction over this case is not given by statute to other trial courts.
- 12. Venue is proper in this Court because the agreements and contracts at issue were negotiated, entered and/or executed in the City of Palo Alto, in the County of Santa Clara, and the performance of obligations arising from the parties' relationship occurred in the County of Santa Clara. Venue is also proper in Santa Clara County because HP resides within the County of Santa Clara, Jones worked for HP in Santa Clara County, and certain wrongful actions took place or HP was harmed within the county.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

HP's Business, Trade Secrets, and Confidential Information

- 13. HP is a technology company founded in 1939 that operates in more than 170 countries around the world. As the world's largest technology company, HP brings together a portfolio that includes printing, personal computing, software, services and IT infrastructure to solve customer problems. No other company offers as complete a technology product portfolio as HP. HP provides infrastructure and business offerings that span from handheld devices to some of the world's most powerful supercomputer installations. HP offers consumers a wide range of products and services from digital photography to digital entertainment and from computing to home printing. This comprehensive portfolio helps HP match the right products, services and solutions to its customers' specific needs.
- 14. HP's three business groups drive industry leadership in core technology areas: 1) The Personal Systems Group: business and consumer PCs, mobile computing devices and workstations; 2) The Imaging and Printing Group: Inkjet, LaserJet and commercial printing, and

printing supplies; and 3) Enterprise	: Business: business	services and	products,	including for
example, storage and servers, enter	prise services and s	oftware ("EB	U").	

- 15. The worldwide EBU alone generates annual revenues of approximately \$54 billion. In 2010, HP's total revenues in the APJ region amounted to approximately 18% of HP's total fiscal year 2010 revenues.
- 16. HP's software and hardware offerings compete directly with some offerings from the most competitive companies in the world, including Oracle.
- 17. HP is a leader in technology and customer support and service through the use of a well trained staff, the use of technology, and the establishment of strong customer relationships.
- 18. HP has invested substantial money to create proprietary designs, solutions, initiatives and equipment to ensure that HP can provide cutting edge technologies and services to its customers.
- 19. HP also spends extensive time and substantial money identifying and maintaining key customer relationships, designing customer initiatives, determining strategic mergers and acquisitions, allocating resources for new technologies and initiatives, and creating annual business plans.
- HP's key management personnel, including Jones, participate extensively in the design and implementation of annual business plans. Jones was privy to HP's strategic plans, including its fiscal year 2010 and 2011 business plans. He was involved in creating such plans to compete against HP's competitors, including Oracle.
- 21. HP has developed and maintained valuable relationships and substantial goodwill with its customers.
- 22. In order to grow its business and better serve its customers, HP has acquired other entities and technology throughout the world to best provide products and services that meet its clients' needs and from which HP can derive economic gain.

1	
2	
3	

6 7

9

10

8

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

23. HP's business information, including its confidential, proprietary, and trade secret information, customer relationships and goodwill, are of paramount significance to its business reputation and its success.

FEDEX KINKOS 2901

- 24. HP's trade secrets include product planning, strategic business planning, resource allocation, products under development, technology, confidential competitive intelligence, customer initiatives, customer relationship strengths and weaknesses, pricing, margins, acquisition targets, and business growth strengths and weaknesses.
- 25. HP's trade secret information is not generally known in the industry and is valuable because HP derives economic value from the information not being publicly available.
- 26. HP's trade secret business and customer information is of great value to HP and such information would give any competitor who improperly acquired such information an unfair competitive advantage by: not expending the time and resources to develop the trade secret information as HP has done, quickly developing strategies, marketing plans, products and technologies to unfairly compete with HP in order to diminish HP's head start, even alerting a competitor as to initiatives that should not be pursued, and other improper advantages.
- 27. HP protects its trade secret business and customer information by, among other safeguards: requiring employees to keep confidential business and customer information, password protecting computers, limiting access to information, requiring employees to sign confidentiality agreements, and requiring employees to take mandatory annual online Standards of Business Conduct training (which includes the obligation to protect HP's trade secret information).
- 28. HP's customer relationships and goodwill are of paramount importance to HP in that many of HP's customers have been customers of HP for many years. Moreover, in a number of instances, HP's customers entrust HP with confidential information and require HP to enter into confidentiality agreements regarding that information as well.

Jones' Employment with HP and His Agreement To Protect HP's Proprietary, Confidential and Trade Secret Information

- 29. Jones accepted HP's offer of employment in or about March 2007 as a Vice President in Cupertino, California.
- 30. Upon joining HP, and at numerous points throughout his employment with HP, Jones received stock options, restricted stock, and other compensation worth many thousands of dollars in exchange for his agreements that he would not misuse HP's proprietary, confidential, and/or trade secret information.
- 31. Under his agreements with HP, Jones agreed, *inter alia*, to restrict the use of HP's proprietary, confidential, and trade secret information in the performance of HP duties, to hold such information in confidence, and to use reasonable measures to avoid unauthorized use or disclosure of such information. Jones also agreed that his work product would be HP's sole property. Jones also agreed to return all of HP's property when his employment with HP ended.
- 32. Upon joining HP, Jones was provided access to HP's proprietary, confidential and trade secret information, subject to HP's non-disclosure restrictions.
- 33. During his employment with HP, Jones executed several non-disclosure agreements with HP in which he agreed not to disclose HP's proprietary, confidential or trade secret information.
- 34. Jones executed HP's Agreement Regarding Confidential Information and Proprietary Developments (the "Trade Secret Protection Agreement"). Most recently, he signed such Trade Secret Protection Agreements on January 26, 2009 and February 3, 2010. True and correct copies of the agreements are attached as Exhibits 1 and 2.
- 35. Jones agreed to keep HP's proprietary, confidential and trade secret information protected and confidential both during and after his employment with HP, pursuant to Section 2 of the Trade Secret Protection Agreements, which states:
 - 2. <u>Confidential Information</u>. This Agreement concerns trade secrets, confidential business and technical information, and know-how not generally known to the public (hereinafter "Confidential Information") which is acquired or produced by me in connection with my employment by HP. Confidential Information may include, without limitation, information on HP organizations, staffing, finance, structure,

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23_. 24

25

26 27

28

information of employee performance, compensation of others, research and development, manufacturing and marketing, files, keys, certificates, passwords and other computer information, as well as information that HP receives from others under an obligation of confidentiality. I agree:

- (a) to use such information only in the performance of HP duties;
- (b) to hold such information in confidence and trust; and
- (c) to use all reasonable precautions to assure that such information is not disclosed to unauthorized persons or used in an unauthorized manner, both during and after my employment with HP.

I further agree that any organizational information or staffing information learned by me in connection with my employment by HP is the Confidential Information of HP, and I agree that I will not share such information with any recruiters or any other employers, either during or subsequent to my employment with HP; further, I agree that I will not use or permit use of such as a means to recruit or solicit other HP employees away from HP (either for myself or for others).

- 36. Under the Trade Secret Protection Agreements, Jones also agreed that any proprietary developments that were conceived or made by him while employed by HP were the sole property of HP:
 - 3. Proprietary Developments. This Agreement also concerns inventions and discoveries (whether or not patentable), designs, works of authorship, mask works, improvements, data, processes, computer programs and software (hereinafter called "Proprietary Developments") that are conceived or made by me alone or with others while I am employed by HP and that relate to the research and development or the business of HP, or that result from work performed by me for HP, or that are developed, in whole or in part, using HP's equipment, supplies, facilities or trade secrets information. Such Proprietary Developments are the sole property of HP, and I hereby assign and transfer all rights in such Proprietary Developments to HP....
- 37. Under the Trade Secret Protection Agreements, Jones also agreed that all of his work product would be the sole property of HP, and that HP had the sole right to use and disseminate this work product:
 - 5. Work Product. The product of all work performed by me during and within the scope of my HP employment including, without limitation, any files, presentations, reports, documents, drawings, computer programs, devices and models, will be the sole property of HP. I understand that HP has the sole right to use, sell, license, publish or otherwise disseminate or transfer rights in such work product.
- 38. Under the Trade Secret Protection Agreements, Jones also agreed to return all HP property (including HP's work product that Jones developed and/or used while an HP employee) upon the termination of his employment:

- 6. **HP Property.** I will not remove any HP property from HP premises without HP's permission. Upon termination of my employment with HP, I will return all HP property to HP unless HP's written permission to keep it is obtained.
- Agreements to protect HP's Confidential Information. Specifically, he agreed to a series of Protective Covenants, contained in Section 7 of the Trade Secret Protection Agreements, expressly acknowledging that "a simple agreement not to disclose or use HP's Confidential Information or Proprietary Developments after my employment by HP ends would be inadequate, standing alone, to protect HP's legitimate business interests because some activities by a former employee who had held a position like mine would, by their nature, compromise such Confidential Information and Proprietary Developments as well as the goodwill and customer relationships that HP will pay me to develop for the company during my employment by HP." These covenants include restrictions on (a) conflicting business activities; (b) solicitation of customers; (c) solicitations of HP employees; and (d) solicitation of HP suppliers, all in recognition of the detailed proprietary, confidential and/or trade secret information known to Jones:
 - 7. Protective Covenants. I acknowledge that a simple agreement not to disclose or use HP's Confidential Information or Proprietary Developments after my employment by HP ends would be inadequate, standing alone, to protect HP's legitimate business interests because some activities by a former employee who had held a position like mine would, by their nature, compromise such Confidential Information and Proprietary Developments as well as the goodwill and customer relationships that HP will pay me to develop for the company during my employment by HP. I recognize that activities that violate HP's rights in this regard, whether or not intentional, are often undetectable by HP until it is too late to obtain any effective remedy, and that such activities will cause irreparable injury to HP. To prevent this kind of irreparable harm, I agree that for a period of twelve months following the termination of my employment with HP, I will abide by the following Protective Covenants:
 - (a) No Conflicting Business Activities. I will not provide services to a Competitor in any role or position (as an employee, consultant, or otherwise) that would involve Conflicting Business Activities in the Restricted Geographic Area (but while I remain a resident of California and subject to the laws of California, the restriction in this clause (paragraph 7, subpart (a)) will apply only to Conflicting Business Activities in the Restricted Geographic Area that result in unauthorized use or disclosure of HP's Confidential Information);
 - (b) No Solicitation of Customers. I will not (in person or through assistance to others) knowingly participate in soliciting or communicating with any customer of HP in pursuit of a Competing Line of Business if I either had business-related contact with that customer or received Confidential Information about that customer in the last two

years of my employment at HP (but while I remain a resident of California and subject to the laws of California, the restriction in this clause (paragraph 7, subpart (b)) will apply only to solicitations or communications made with the unauthorized assistance of HP's Confidential Information);

(c) No Solicitation of HP Employees. I will not (in person or through assistance to others) knowingly participate in soliciting or communicating with an HP Employee for the purpose of persuading or helping the HP Employee to end or reduce his or her employment relationship with HP if I either worked with that HP Employee or received Confidential Information about that HP Employee in the last two years of my employment with HP; and

(d) No Solicitation of HP Suppliers. I will not (in person or through assistance to others) knowingly participate in soliciting or communicating with an HP Supplier for the purpose of persuading or helping the HP Supplier to end or modify to HP's detriment an existing business relationship with HP if I either worked with that HP Supplier or received Confidential Information about that HP Supplier in the last two years of my employment with HP.

40. To further protect HP's Confidential Information, the Trade Secret Protection Agreements required Jones to notify HP of his post-employment activities. Pursuant to Section 9, Jones agreed to notify HP of his employment with a competitor promptly and provide HP with sufficient information concerning his new position to allow HP to assess whether such a position would likely lead to a violation of the Agreement:

9. Notice of Post-Employment Activities. If I accept a position with a Competitor at any time within twelve months following termination of my employment with HP, I will promptly give written notice to the senior Human Resources manager for the HP business sector in which I worked, with a copy to HP's General Counsel, and will provide HP with the information it needs about my new position to determine whether such position would likely lead to a violation of this Agreement (except that I need not provide any information that would include the Competitor's trade secrets).

41. Jones further agreed that HP would be entitled to immediate injunctive relief for any violations of the Trade Secret Protection Agreements:

10. Relief; Extension. I understand that if I violate this Agreement (particularly the Protective Covenants), HP will be entitled to injunctive relief by temporary restraining order, temporary injunction, and/or permanent injunction and any other legal and equitable relief allowed by law. Injunctive relief will not exclude other remedies that might apply. If I am found to have violated any restrictions in the Protective Covenants, then the time period for such restrictions will be extended by one day for each day that I am found to have violated them, up to a maximum extension equal to the time period originally prescribed for the restrictions.

As an HP employee, Jones also accepted HP's Standards of Business Conduct

42.

28 ("SBC") and HP's Conflicts of Interest Policy. Among other things, the SBC accepted by Jones

provides that HP employees must avoid conflicts of interest, maintain accurate business records, and cooperate with investigations. Similarly, HP's Conflicts of Interest Policy, agreed to by Jones, provides that HP employees must avoid situations where there may be a conflict of interest, to disclose if they have a close personal relationship with any direct or indirect report, and that an employee cannot be directly or indirectly involved in employment-related decisions related to anyone with whom the employee has a close personal relationship. True and correct copies of the SBC and Conflicts of Interest Policy are attached as Exhibits 3 and 4.

- 43. From March 2007 to the end of 2009, Jones was the Vice President in HP's Solutions Partners Organization, Americas ("SPOA"), which is sometimes referred to as HP's "Channel" division. In that role, he was responsible for overseeing HPA's SPOA operations, which involved partnering with thousands of resellers and distributors across the country and elsewhere to market and sell HP's products and services to customers of every size and shape.
- 44. Beginning in November 2009, Jones became HP's Senior Vice President and General Manager of HP's ESSN for the APJ region. His duties in that role included overseeing all of HP's ESSN sales in Asia and Australia, including the Pacific Islands and Archipelagos west of the International Date Line. Jones was also responsible for creating and implementing HP's ESSN strategic sales plans for that region.
- 45. As the Senior Vice President of the ESSN business unit, Jones had access to HP's most valuable proprietary, confidential and/or trade secret information. He attended operation meetings, technology meetings, strategic planning meetings, and customer meetings.
- As a result of his high level position within HP, Jones had access to Confidential Information relating to HP's Enterprise, Storage, Servers and Networking business unit. He attended high level meetings at HP and was privy to the most sensitive of HP proprietary, confidential and/or trade secret information. Jones helped determine high-level HP strategy with respect to HP's ESSN for the APJ region, and had access to Confidential Information regarding personnel matters.
- 47. Jones' high level position gave him access to HP's proprietary, confidential and trade secret information regarding research and development, marketing, strategy, customer

contacts, allocation of resources, pricing, margins, profitability, customer initiatives, leadership and talent initiatives, and other confidential information.

- Without revealing their exact nature and thus their secrecy, the proprietary, confidential and/or trade secret information known by Jones includes, inter alia:
 - A. Customer initiatives, allocation of resources, product development, multiyear product, business and talent planning, and strategies being utilized by HP;
 - В. Confidential lists, charts, diagrams, and other compilations of HP's ESSN and other customers in the APJ region containing information regarding customer spend and satisfaction;
 - C. Confidential APJ per-customer information regarding contacts, revenue, margin, pricing, preferences, initiatives, allocation of resources, product development, multi-year planning, purchasing histories, strategies, and other information;
 - D. Confidential information regarding HP's APJ resellers and distributors, including contacts, sales volumes, margins, commissions, sales and marketing strategies and efforts, strengths and weaknesses; and
 - E. Confidential strategic plans regarding HP's financial plans, new and existing products, services, staffing, and markets in the APJ region and elsewhere, including HP's growth plans in the region, strengths and weaknesses, opportunities, and trends.
- 49. Jones also had access to HP's proprietary, confidential and trade secret information concerning HP's strategies regarding its competitors.
- 50. On or about November 1, 2009, Jones accepted a Long Term Temporary International Assignment Program ("LTTIAP") to relocate with his wife and children to Tokyo, Japan for 36 months. As part of the LTTIAP, Jones was promoted to Senior Vice President of Sales for HP's Enterprise Servers, Storage and Networking for HP Asia Pacific and Japan.

26

1	
1	
-	

- 51. On or about July 12, 2010, Jones accepted the terms and conditions of HP's LTTIAP as summarized in a document entitled "Hewlett Packard Company Long Term Temporary International Assignment Summary of Terms and Conditions" ("Summary Terms and Conditions"). The Summary Terms and Conditions explicitly incorporated the terms of HP's LTTIAP. True and correct copies of the Summary Terms and Conditions and the LTTIAP are attached as Exhibits 5 and 6.
- 52. In reliance on Jones' agreement to be bound by the SBC and Conflicts of Interest Policy, and the terms and conditions of the Summary Terms and Conditions and the LTTIAP, HP incurred substantial expense to accommodate Jones's relocation to Tokyo, including but not limited to: (1) storage of Jones' household goods in the United States; (2) lease of a vehicle for Jones in Japan; (3) lease of housing for Jones in Japan; (4) housing insurance for Jones in Japan; (5) furniture rental for Jones in Japan; (6) tuition for Jones' three children in Japan; and (7) school bus expenses for Jones' three children in Japan.

HP's Investigation Uncovers Evidence of Inaccurate Expense Reports and an Undisclosed Conflict of Interest

- 53. In or around October 2010, HP learned that Jones was having an undisclosed close personal relationship with a HP Subordinate, who worked in Sydney, Australia. HP learned that Jones frequently travelled to Australia to visit the HP Subordinate for the sole purpose of furthering his close personal relationship with her, and was submitting inaccurate expense reports characterizing his personal trips as business expenses although they served no legitimate business purpose.
- 54. HP also learned that Jones, in violation of HP's Conflicts of Interest Policy, had orchestrated a salary raise of approximately 97% for the HP Subordinate without disclosing his close personal relationship with her. These issues raised serious concerns regarding Jones' breach of HP's SBC and Conflicts of Interest Policy.
- 55. Upon learning of these allegations, HP began an internal investigation into Jones' actions with respect to the HP Subordinate.

- 56. In mid-December 2010, HP formally advised Jones of the investigation and reminded him of his duty to refrain from communicating with anyone about the matter. Despite his obligation to cooperate with the investigation pursuant to the SBC, Jones refused to comply and continued to interfere with the investigation by discussing the matter with the HP Subordinate, as well as other HP employees, and by providing false, misleading and evasive responses to HP's inquiries.
- 57. During the investigation, HP learned that Jones and the HP Subordinate first met when Jones visited Sydney, Australia in December 2009. In early 2010, he began the close personal relationship with the HP Subordinate. Thereafter, Jones traveled to Sydney approximately every four to six weeks to further this close personal relationship.
- 58. Despite knowing that HP's Conflicts of Interest Policy required him to disclose his close personal relationship with the HP Subordinate, Jones deliberately concealed his close personal relationship from HP.
- 59. After Jones began his secret relationship with the HP Subordinate, he orchestrated a scheme to give her a substantial raise and a promotion. As part of his scheme, Jones told his manager that the HP Subordinate was one of his top sales reps, had received a significant job offer from an HP competitor, and that it was necessary to increase her salary to prevent her from leaving HP. Jones secured support to raise the HP Subordinate's salary approximately 97%. Throughout this entire scheme, Jones concealed his close personal relationship.
- 60. When confronted with HP's overwhelming evidence during the investigation, Jones admitted that he had never previously been involved in salary negotiations for any employees outside his direct reports. He also admitted that he knew that he should have disclosed his close personal relationship with the HP Subordinate, and that he had a conflict of interest because of his relationship. Further, despite HP's requests, Jones and his subordinate refused to produce any evidence of her alleged employment offer from an HP competitor.
- 61. HP's investigation also confirmed that Jones submitted numerous false expense reports claiming several thousands of dollars in travel expenses to Australia to further his close personal relationship with the HP Subordinate.

1	
2	

4 5

> 6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

62. Jones also submitted numerous false expense reports claiming reimbursement for personal meals, including meals with the HP Subordinate. He falsely represented on expense reports submitted to HP that he dined with persons who were not in attendance. In many cases, Jones dined with the HP Subordinate, but falsely represented to HP that he dined with others in order to get his personal non-business expenses reimbursed.

- 63. When confronted with evidence of his inaccurate meal expenses, Jones blamed his personal assistant for submitting the expenses, claiming that he did not review the expense reports before they were submitted. Jones also claimed that the inaccuracies were merely the result of his assistant having to submit the expense reports before she received his actual receipts and that she simply guessed who the attendees were based on Jones' calendar. However, for the meals in Sydney for which Jones fraudulently submitted meal expenses, his calendar was blank and could not have suggested the fraudulent attendees. Ultimately, Jones admitted that many of the people he had identified as attendees on expense reports submitted to HP were in fact never present.
- 64. On March 11, 2011, HP demanded that Jones reimburse HP for the more than \$13,025 in personal expenses fraudulently submitted as business expenses, and an additional \$146,374 for HP's costs associated with his abrupt resignation while on international assignment in Japan. Jones has refused to reimburse HP for these amounts.

Jones Copies HP's Confidential Information and Then Abruptly Resigns

- 65. In the face of overwhelming evidence of his misconduct, fraud and breaches of the SBC and Conflicts of Interest Policy, Jones abruptly resigned from HP on February 16, 2011.
- 66. On February 17, 2011, following his resignation, Jones met with Bradley Jose, HP's Director of Human Resources for the ESSN business unit of the APJ region. During this meeting, Jones returned to HP his employee badge, a computer security key, the Company lap top computer that he used, his Company Blackberry, and his Company credit card. He did not return to HP the portable USB storage device that he used to copy hundreds of files and thousands of emails that contained HP's confidential proprietary, and trade secret information, including but not limited to Confidential Information.

- 67. On February 18, 2011, Jones again spoke with Jose. Jose reminded Jones of his post-employment obligations with respect to HP's proprietary, confidential and/or trade secret information. During this discussion, Jones failed to notify HP that he had installed third party backup software on his HP computer. He also failed to notify HP that he had used the backup software to create a complete backup of the files on his HP computer on a portable USB storage device on or about February 11, 2011, just days before his resignation. Jones also failed to disclose or return the portable USB storage device that he used to create the backup of the files on the hard drive of his HP computer. On February 18, 2011 Jose provided Jones with a copy of HP's Post Employment Restrictions related to Confidential Information, as well as a copy of the Trade Secret Protection Agreements Jones had signed in 2009.
- 68. Following Jones' resignation, a forensic investigation revealed that Jones had installed third party backup software on his HP computer and had used this software just days before his resignation to save backup copies of all of the files on the hard drive of his HP computer to a portable USB storage device, including files with proprietary, confidential and/or trade secret information. Specifically, in November 2010, Jones installed third party backup software that he purchased from Memeo on his HP computer without authorization. The Memeo software allowed Jones to backup all of the files on his HP computer to a portable USB storage device not associated with HP's computer system.
- 69. Using Memeo, Jones created a backup in November 2010. He executed another backup of his HP computer on February 11, 2011, just days before he resigned from HP, using a portable USB storage device. In running the February 11 backup, Jones copied 908 files from his HP computer. These files were saved to a portable USB storage device and contained hundreds of separate documents of varying types (e.g., PowerPoint presentations, Excel spreadsheets) and thousands of e-mails.
- 70. Jones failed to return to HP the portable USB storage device that he used to make backup copies of the hard drive of his HP computer on February 11, 2011. The information taken by Jones includes proprietary, confidential and/or trade secret information described in paragraphs 46-49 herein.

Jones is Employed By A Direct Competitor In A Similar Position

2

71. On March 14, 2011, Jones notified HP that he had accepted the position of Senior Vice President, Hardware Sales Asia with Oracle America, a direct competitor to HP.

4 5 72. Oracle is a business software and hardware systems company, and a direct competitor of HP in the enterprise servers and storage business. HP and Oracle both provide enterprise software and hardware systems that compete head-to-head in the United States and

6 7

across the world in the highly competitive enterprise server and storage space market.

8

73. Following Oracle's \$7.4 billion acquisition of Sun Microsystems in January 2010, Oracle entered the market for hardware systems and now offers a wide range of directly

We face intense competition in all aspects of our business. The nature of the IT industry

otherwise change. Our customers are demanding less complexity and lower total cost in the implementation, sourcing, integration and ongoing maintenance of their *enterprise*

competitive companies in the world, including Microsoft Corporation (Microsoft), IBM Corporation (IBM), *Hewlett Packard Company (HP)*, SAP AG, and Intel, as well as

Enterprise servers and storage have been and remain a key component of HP's

software and hardware systems, which has led increasingly to our product offerings being viewed as a "stack" of software and hardware designed to work together in a

standards-compliant environment—from "Applications to Disk." Our enterprise software and hardware offerings compete directly with some offerings from the most

creates a competitive landscape that is constantly evolving as firms emerge, expand or are acquired, as technology evolves and as customer demands and competitive pressures

9

competitive server systems and storage products. HP and Oracle are thus now direct competitors

10 11

in the enterprise business space, and Oracle's enterprise software and hardware offerings

12

compete on a customer by customer basis with HP's enterprise offerings.

many others. (Emphasis added).

13

74. In a July 2010 SEC filing, Oracle stated, in pertinent part:

14

15 16

17

18

...

19

20

21

75.

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 business, and were a focus of Jones' duties and responsibilities while employed as the Senior Vice President of ESSN for the APJ region at HP. One of Jones' main objectives while at HP was to create HP's strategic plan to compete against its competitors with regards to sales of enterprise storage and servers.

76. Jones' actions are a serious threat to HP's business, are in violation of contractual obligations and applicable law, and unjustly enrich Jones. Injunctive relief is necessary to remedy the harm.

J 2

04/07/2011

3

4 5

6

7 8

10

9

12 13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

HP has no adequate remedy at law to fully protect it. The value of HP's trade 77. secret and Confidential Information will be severely diminished if Jones is not required to account for and return the trade secret and Confidential Information that he took.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

FEDEX KINKOS 2901

(Misappropriation of Trade Secrets Against Jones and DOES 1-25)

- HP hereby incorporates by reference and realleges each of the allegations 78. contained in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 77, inclusive, as though fully set forth in this cause of action.
- At all relevant times, HP was in possession of trade secret information as defined 79. by California's Uniform Trade Secrets Act ("CUTSA"), Civil Code section 3426.1(d). As described above, Jones was provided access to such trade secrets in his position as HP's Senior Vice President of the ESSN business unit for the APJ region. The proprietary business and customer information of HP that Jones had access to was provided access to constitutes trade secrets because HP, as described herein, derives independent economic value from that information, such information is not generally known nor readily ascertainable by proper means by other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use, and because the information is the subject of reasonable efforts to maintain its secrecy. HP's trade secret information described herein is not and was not generally known to HP's competitors in the industry.
- HP is informed and believes and therefore alleges that Jones has actually 80. misappropriated and continues to threaten to misappropriate HP's trade secrets in violation of CUTSA.
- As a result of his high-level position with HP, Jones had access to HP's most 81. valuable trade secrets as described herein. Jones continues to have knowledge of that information, notwithstanding the fact that he is working for a competitor. Jones continues to possess HP's trade secrets held in his memory and more importantly in an electronic format on the storage device to which he downloaded hundreds of files and thousands of e-mails. HP

04/07/2011

///

///

alleges upon information and belief that they are contained in his memory or in physical or electronic form.

- 82. Jones intends to improperly use or disclose HP's trade secrets to others in violation of the CUTSA and his Trade Secret Protection Agreements with HP.
- Despite HP's demand, Jones has failed to return a portable USB storage device which he used to copy confidential HP documents and files. Jones also failed to disclose to HP that he had installed software that allowed him to backup all of the files on his HP computer to a portable USB storage device, and that he had in fact saved all of the files on his HP computer to a portable USB storage device just days before his resignation.
- 84. Jones, by his fraudulent and deceitful conduct to date, has demonstrated that he cannot be counted on to avoid disclosing and utilizing HP's trade secrets.
- 85. As a proximate result of Jones' misappropriation and threatened misappropriation of HP's trade secrets, HP has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm, as well as, damages in an amount to be proven at the time of trial, but which are substantial and in excess of the minimum jurisdictional amount of this court.
- 86. Because HP's remedy at law is inadequate, HP seeks temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctive relief. HP is threatened with losing customers, technology, its competitive advantage, its trade secrets and goodwill in amounts which may be impossible to determine, unless Jones is enjoined and restrained by order of this Court, as alleged above.
- 87. Jones' actual and threatened misappropriation has been willful and malicious in light of Jones' repeated execution of contracts prohibiting his current conduct and his deliberate violation of the contractual obligations. Therefore, HP is entitled to an award of punitive or treble damages and attorneys' fees pursuant to Civil Code sections 3426.3(c) and 3426.4.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

2

3

(Breach of Written Contract Against Jones)

4 5

HP hereby incorporates by reference and realleges each of the allegations 88. contained in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 87, inclusive, as though fully set forth in this cause of action.

6 7

Jones' Trade Secret Protection Agreements are valid and enforceable contracts. 89. The confidentiality covenants and other provisions contained in the agreements are reasonably necessary to protect legitimate protectable interests in trade secrets, confidential information,

8 9

customer relationships, work force and goodwill.

10

HP has fully performed all of its obligations under the agreements. 90.

11

Jones is breaching and threatens to continue to breach the agreements by: 91.

12

failing to return HP property in violation of Section 6 of the Trade Secret A. Protection Agreements;

13 14

breaching his obligations in Section 2 and Section 7 of the Trade Secret В. Protection Agreements; and/or

15

utilizing HP's confidential and/or trade secret information to benefit HP's C.

16 17

competitor, Oracle.

- 18

19

As a result of any one of these breaches of his agreements, HP has been injured 92. and faces irreparable injury. HP is threatened with losing customers, technology, its competitive

20

advantage, its trade secrets and goodwill in amounts which may be impossible to determine,

21

unless Jones is enjoined and restrained by order of this Court.

22

(Conversion Against Jones and DOES 1-25)

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

23 24

HP hereby incorporates by reference and realleges each of the allegations 93. contained in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through ____, inclusive, as though fully set forth in this

25 26

cause of action.

Based on conduct wholly independent of the existence, knowledge, 94.

27 28

misappropriation and usage of HP's trade secrets, Jones removed and retained non-trade secret

1	
7	

5

6

4

7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 but still proprietary and confidential information and property belonging to HP, without permission or authorization, for his personal economic advantage and, on information and belief, to use for the benefit of a competitor to unfairly compete with HP.

FEDEX KINKOS 2901

- As a proximate result of Jones' removal and retention of non-trade secret but still 95. proprietary and confidential information and property, Jones has caused HP to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- Each of the acts of conversion was done willfully and maliciously, with the 96. deliberate intent to injury HP's business, thereby entitling HP to exemplary damages and/or attorneys' fees to be proven at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Fraud Against Jones and DOES 1-25)

- HP hereby incorporates by reference and realleges each of the allegations 97. contained in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 77, inclusive, as though fully set forth in this cause of action.
- HP is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges, 98. that when Jones submitted fraudulent documentation to support his expense reports, he represented that the expenses were lawful business expenses although they were personal in nature and related to his close personal relationship with the HP Subordinate. Jones knew these representations to be false and made the representations with the intent to deceive, defraud, and induce HP to act in reliance on the representations in the manner herein alleged, and to reimburse Jones for the fraudulent expenses.
- HP, at the time these representations were made, and at the time HP took the actions herein alleged, was ignorant of the falsity of the representations made by Jones and believed them to be true. In reliance on these representations of material fact, HP did not discover that Jones' personal expenses were fraudulently submitted as legitimate business expenses. Had HP known the actual facts, it would not have reimbursed Jones for his fraudulent expenses.

1	
2	Jone
3	Jone
4	and o
5	
6	alleg
.7	rece
8	keep
9	Jone
10	dece
11	alleg
12	
13	actio
14	mad
15	raise
16	
17	Jone
18	Jone
19	his
20	
21	dan
22	\$13
23	
24	und
25	just
26	
27	

28

HP's reliance on Jones' representations was reasonable and justified because 100. s was a long-time employee of HP, and HP had no reason to believe, suspect or discover that s would commit fraud, make intentional misrepresentations, and/or breach his duty of trust care to HP.

- HP is also informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief 101. ges, that when Jones claimed that the HP Subordinate was one of his top sales reps, had ived a significant job offer from an HP competitor, and that her salary had to be increased to her from leaving HP, Jones represented that these facts were true although they were false. es knew these representations to be false and made the representations with the intent to eive, defraud, and induce HP to act in reliance on the representations in the manner herein ged, and to raise his subordinate's salary approximately 97%.
- HP, at the time these representations were made, and at the time HP took the 102. ons herein alleged and reimbursed Jones, was ignorant of the falsity of the representations le by Jones and believed them to be true. Had HP known the actual facts, it would not have ed the HP Subordinate's salary approximately 97%.
- HP's reliance on Jones' representations was reasonable and justified because es was a long-time employee of HP, and HP had no reason to believe, suspect or discover that es would commit fraud against HP, make intentional misrepresentations to HP, and/or breach duty of trust and care to HP.
- As a direct and proximate result of Jones' fraudulent conduct, HP has been 104. naged in an amount subject to proof at the time of trial, but which HP alleges is more than ,025, plus amounts paid to the HP Subordinate in reliance on Jones' misrepresentations.
- Jones' acts alleged above were willful, wanton, malicious, oppressive, and lertaken with conscious disregard for the rights of HP and with intent to defraud HP, and ify the award of exemplary and punitive damages.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Duty of Loyalty Against Jones and DOES 1-25)

HP hereby incorporates by reference and realleges each of the allegations 106.

;	١
	١
1.	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	•
27	,

contained in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 77, inclusive, as though fully set forth in	ı this
cause of action.	

- Pursuant to California Labor Code sections 2854 and 2859, as an employee of 107. HP, Jones owed a duty of care, diligence, skill and loyalty to HP.
- Jones breached his duty to HP as a result of the acts alleged herein, including but 108. not limited to: (1) failing to disclose his close personal relationship with the HP Subordinate; (2) submitting false expense reports characterizing his personal trips to Australia, as well as personal meals, as business expenses although they served no legitimate business purpose; (3) orchestrating an unjustified substantial salary increase for the HP Subordinate; (4) failing to cooperate in HP's investigation of these events; and (5) refusing to repay HP for the no less than \$146,374 in expenses incurred by HP related to Jones' resignation of his international post in Japan.
- As a direct and proximate result of Jones' breach of his duty to HP, HP has been 109. damaged in an amount to be proven at the time of trial, but in excess of the minimum jurisdictional amount of this Court.
- Jones' acts alleged above were willful, wanton, malicious, oppressive, and undertaken with intent to defraud HP, and justify the award of exemplary and punitive damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, HP prays that the Court enter an Order:

- Temporarily, preliminarily and permanently enjoining Jones and all persons or Α. entities acting in concert or participation with Jones from directly or indirectly:
 - obtaining, accessing, using, retaining, utilizing or disclosing HP's confidential, proprietary, or trade secret information;
 - accessing, retrieving, copying, transmitting, or disseminating any and all hard copies or electronic data containing HP trade secrets and/or confidential information and/or any other HP data, documents or property;

	l	

•	deleting, destroying, shredding, altering, crasing or otherwise modifying or
	causing or permitting anyone else to delete, destroy, shred, alter, erase or
	otherwise modify any evidence relating to this action;

- B. Requiring the immediate disclosure and return to HP of all misappropriated materials, including all media and electronic storage devices and virtual repositories, including but not limited to any Memeo accounts, portable USB storage devices utilized by Jones, and Western Digital hard drives utilized by Jones;
- C. Requiring the production of all electronic devices operated by Jones for inspection to verify the use, access, disclosure, printing, copying, and return of HP property, including but not limited to all Western Digital hard drives utilized by Jones; and the production of all passwords and links for all online storage areas utilized by Jones, including but not limited to Memco accounts, for inspection;
- D. That HP be awarded compensatory damages it has suffered, in an amount to be proven at trial;
- E. That HP be awarded punitive and/or exemplary damages for all claims for which such damages are authorized;
- F. That HP be awarded attorneys' fees and the costs of this action as permitted by statute;
 - G. That Jones be ordered to disgorge all improper benefits, profits, and/or gains;
- H. For an accounting of the misuses of HP's information and property and other unlawful acts;
- I. For a constructive trust for the benefit of HP to be imposed upon all funds, assets, revenues and profits derive from the unlawful acts and threatened misappropriation of HP's information and property;
- J. For prejudgment and post judgment interest at the maximum legal rate, as provided by the laws of California, as applicable, as an element of damages which HP has suffered as a result of the wrongful and illegal acts complained of herein; and

04/07/2011	15:18 4082790655	FEDEX KINKOS 2901	PAGE 26/27
		•	
1	K. That HP be awa	arded such other and further relief as the Court de	eems equitable
2	and just.		
3	Dated: April 6, 2011	Respectfully submitted,	
4		SEYFARTH SHAW LLP	
5			
6		Camilla A. Ol	son
7		Autorness for Plaintiff HEWLETT-PACKARD COI	
8			
9		DED CESCNIII D	
10	Dated: April 6, 2011	BERGESON,LLP	
11		- 1/2/2 -	/an
12		By: Daniel J. Ber	
13			
14		Attorneys for Plaintiff HEWLETT-PACKARD CO	MPANY
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23		,	1
24			
25 26			
27			
28			
,20	11	. 07	

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Hewlett-Packard Company hereby demands a trial by jury in the abovecaptioned matter on all matters so triable.

Dated: April 6, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

Camille A. Olson

Attorneys for Plaintiff
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

Dated: April 6, 2011

BERGESON,LLP

By:

Daniel J. Bergeson

Attorneys for Plaintiff

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

CIVIL COMPLAINT FOR MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS, BREACH OF CONTRACT, CONVERSION AND OTHER CLAIMS